

**IHP 315 Module Three Case Study Guidelines and Rubric**

A patient was scheduled for discharge following an extended hospitalization for orthopedic surgery. On the day of discharge, the nursing assistant with five years of clinical experience noted, while helping the patient with her morning hygiene, that the patient had cloudy, foul-smelling urine. The experienced assistant suspected that perhaps a bladder infection had developed. The nursing assistant reported this observation to the registered nurse responsible for the patient’s care. The nurse chose not to mention the cloudy urine to the physician because (as she told the nursing assistant) a hospital-acquired infection creates all sorts of difficulties and extra work for the hospital (such as economic responsibilities and an unfavorable view of the facility by the patient). The physician did not appear to notice, or at least did not mention, the infection on daily rounds. The nurse also noted to the assistant that if the infection persisted, the patient could seek care at a later date after being discharged, and the problem would then be covered by the patient’s insurance. The nurse reasoned to her assistant, “After all, the patient was looking forward to being discharged today and isn’t that the most important thing?”

Address the following prompts:

- Analyze the scenario to determine at least two critical errors of judgment by the registered nurse.
- Are there legal ramifications? Are there any financial repercussions to the healthcare organization?
- Based on this case and the potential risks you addressed in the first part, recommend at least one reporting and communication strategy that would help avoid a repeat of this situation. In your recommendations, address the chain of command in the healthcare organization.
- Explain and defend your response using at least two evidence-based sources.

**Guidelines for Submission:** Your case study should be 2 to 3 pages in length with double spacing, 12-point Times New Roman font, one-inch margins, and sources cited using APA formatting.

Critical Elements	Exemplary	Proficient	Needs Improvement	Not Evident	Value
<b>Analysis: Errors</b>	Meets “Proficient” criteria and includes exceptional detail or more than two critical errors  100%	Describes two critical errors from the given scenario  85%	Describes critical errors from the given scenario with limited details or does not identify at least two errors  55%	Does not describe two critical errors from the given scenario  0%	25
<b>Analysis: Legal Ramifications</b>	Meets “Proficient” criteria and includes exceptional detail  100%	Addresses potential legal ramifications related to the given scenario  85%	Addresses potential legal ramifications related to the given scenario but lacks specific details  55%	Does not address potential legal ramifications related to the given scenario  0%	15

<b>Analysis: Financial Repercussions</b>	Meets “Proficient” criteria and includes exceptional detail  100%	Addresses potential financial repercussions related to the given scenario  85%	Addresses potential financial repercussions related to the given scenario but lacks specific details  55%	Does not address potential financial repercussions related to the given scenario  0%	15
<b>Reporting Systems and Communication Strategies</b>	Meets “Proficient” criteria and includes exceptional detail in describing each strategy or in addressing the chain of command  100%	Recommends reporting and communication strategy to address the issue in the scenario that addresses the chain of command  85%	Recommends reporting and communication strategy but either lacks specific details or does not address the chain of command in the strategies  55%	Does not recommend reporting and communication strategy to address the issue in the scenario  0%	25
<b>Sources</b>		Supports analysis and recommendations with at least two evidence-based sources  100%	Supports analysis and recommendations with only one evidence-based source, or uses sources that are not evidence-based  55%	Does not support analysis and recommendations with sources  0%	10
<b>Articulation</b>	Meets “Proficient” criteria and is presented in a professional format  100%	Submission has no major errors related to grammar, spelling, syntax, or organization  85%	Submission has some errors related to grammar, spelling, syntax, or organization that negatively impact readability and articulation of main ideas  55%	Submission has critical errors related to grammar, spelling, syntax, or organization that obstruct understanding  0%	10
<b>Total</b>					<b>100%</b>