



STUDYDADDY

**Get Homework Help
From Expert Tutor**

Get Help

BBS301: Systematic literature review marking guide

	80-100 Excellent (HD)	70-79 Very good (D)	60-69 Good (C)	50-59
Technical approach to systematic review	Outstanding evidence of systematic review process, all steps fulfilled, clearly replicable process, evidence of multiple searches across the databases specified, excellent search terms with thoughtful search term combinations.	Evidence of very careful reviewing, most steps fulfilled, clearly replicable process, evidence of multiple searches across databases, good selection of search terms and search combinations.	Evidence of some systematic reviewing, possibly incomplete but using appropriate approaches.	Incomplete systematic review to identify appropriate
Synthesis and evaluation of literature	Literature well synthesised. Excellent level of critical evaluation developed and justified own ideas, drawing from the literature to make conclusions.	Literature well synthesised. Evidence of good analysis and critical evaluation of literature. Some evidence of developing own ideas and drawing from the literature to make conclusions.	Some attempt to synthesise literature. Some evidence of critical evaluation of the literature.	Limited literature synthesis. Literature uncritically described. Understanding
Level of understanding	Evidence of comprehensive and detailed understanding of topic presented with depth and rigour.	Evidence of very good level of understanding of the topic and an awareness of a variety of ideas and perspectives.	Evidence of a good level of factual and conceptual knowledge and use of appropriate terminology.	Evidence of knowledge. Some use of terminology
Written expression	Fluent and sophisticated writing style appropriate to document. Writing concise and direct. Grammar and spelling errors rare or absent. The author presents ideas in an accessible way with a sense of reader's need for variety, examples, and explanation.	Language is fluent. Grammar and spelling errors are minimal. The author often presents ideas in clear, lucid fashion, making difficult ideas accessible and explaining concepts and arguments effectively.	Language is mainly fluent. May have occasional grammar or spelling errors. Although some parts of the review are clear and persuasive, some may be hard to follow or convoluted.	Meaningful language. Not fluent. May have spelling errors, but readable. Proofread. Over reliance
Presentation and structure	Polished and imaginative presentation. Clear, logical & engaging structure throughout the review, with effective introduction & conclusion. Clever or effective use of structure, such as	Very good presentation. Minor errors in consistency or formatting. Clear, logical structure throughout the review that is effectively initiated in the introduction and drawn to a conclusion.	Good presentation. Some errors in consistency and formatting. Shows organisation and coherence. Introduction and conclusion used effectively, including signalling the	Shows some presentation. Generally poor presentation. Shows some organisation. Review of sense of

	cumulative points, creative development, or other effective writing strategy. Strong, intriguing introduction.	Effective use of paragraphs, sentence structure and overall outline.	primary contents of review, but may be overly plodding.	have pro cohesion Introduc conclusi effective organize
Integration of cited material	Author embeds quotations well in his or her own prose, effectively integrating concepts. Cited concepts used effectively and creatively.	Author embeds quotations well in his or her own prose, integrating concepts into the review. Cited concepts are well understood and used correctly.	Quoted material advances the discussion and author leads into and out of quoted material effectively. Author uses concepts or ideas correctly from sources.	Quoted m appropri be some discussio Quoted m integratio surround
Referencing	Referencing consistently accurate. In-text citations & Reference List complete & appropriate. Author efficiently integrates acknowledging sources with paraphrased as well as quoted material.	Referencing mostly accurate but some minor errors in consistency or formatting. In-text citations & Reference List complete & appropriate. Author efficiently integrates acknowledging sources with paraphrased as well as quoted material.	Referencing mostly accurate but some minor errors in consistency or formatting. In-text citations & Reference List complete & appropriate. Author efficiently integrates acknowledging the sources of material.	Referenc standards complete Referenc Author i informat



STUDYDADDY

Get Homework Help From Expert Tutor

[Get Help](#)