



STUDYDADDY

**Get Homework Help
From Expert Tutor**

Get Help

SOC 282 Minority Health—Reaction Paper Rubric, Evaluation Criterial, and Evaluation Levels

Criteria	Very Good (90-100 points)	Good (80-89) points)	Average (70-79 points)	Poor (0-69 points)
Depth of Reflection Weight: 40	<p>Reaction demonstrates an in-depth reflection on, and personalization of ideas, concepts, arguments, perspectives, experiences etc. presented in the course materials to date. Viewpoints and interpretations are insightful and well supported. Demonstrates a high degree of critical thinking in applying, analyzing, and evaluating key course concepts and theories from readings and media. Insightful and relevant connections made through contextual explanations, inferences, and examples. Clear, highly detailed examples are provided, as applicable.</p>	<p>Reaction demonstrates above average reflection on, and personalization of, ideas, concepts, arguments, perspectives, experiences etc. presented in the course materials to date. Viewpoints and interpretations are well supported. Demonstrates degree of critical thinking in applying, analyzing, and/or evaluating key course concepts a from readings and media that is above average. Solid connections are made through explanations, inferences, and/or examples. Appropriately detailed examples are provided, as applicable.</p>	<p>Reaction demonstrates a minimal reflection on, and personalization of, ideas, concepts, arguments, perspectives, experiences etc. presented in the course materials to date. Viewpoints and interpretations are unsupported or supported with flawed arguments. Reaction demonstrates limited critical thinking in applying, analyzing, and/or exploring key course concepts and theories from readings and media. Minimal connections made through explanations, inferences, and/or examples.</p>	<p>Reaction demonstrates a lack of reflection on, or personalization of, ideas, concepts, arguments, perspectives, experiences etc. presented in the course materials to date. Superficial connections are made with key course concepts and course materials. Lacks critical thinking. Viewpoints and interpretations are missing, inappropriate, and/or unsupported. Examples are not provided or inappropriate.</p>
Required Components Weight:20	<p>Reaction includes all components and meets or exceeds all requirements indicated in the instructions. Each question is addressed thoroughly with fully developed responses.</p>	<p>Reaction includes all components and meets all requirements indicated in the instructions. Each question is well addressed.</p>	<p>Reaction is missing some components and/or does not fully meet the requirements indicated in the instructions. At least one question is not addressed.</p>	<p>Reaction excludes essential components and/or does not address the requirements indicated in the instructions. Two or more questions are not addressed.</p>
Structure Weight:10	<p>Superbly written and clearly organized using standard English, characterized by elements of a strong writing style and basically free from grammar, punctuation, usage, and spelling errors. Paragraph and sentence construction are excellent. Logic and coherence of thoughts are superior.</p>	<p>Above average writing style and logically organized using standard English with minor errors in grammar, punctuation, usage, and spelling. Writing is mostly clear, concise, and well organized with good sentence/ paragraph construction. Thoughts are expressed in a coherent and logical manner.</p>	<p>Average and/or casual writing style that is sometimes unclear and/or with some errors in grammar, punctuation, usage, and spelling. Writing is minimally organized. Thoughts are not expressed in a wholly logical manner / some logic problems are present.</p>	<p>Poor writing style lacking in standard English, clarity, language used, and/or frequent errors in grammar, punctuation, usage, and spelling. Writing is unclear and disorganized. Thoughts are disconnected or are hard to interpret.</p>

Criteria	Very Good (90-100 points)	Good (80-89 points)	Average (70-79 points)	Poor (0-69 points)
<p>Idea Synthesis and Personal Application</p> <p>Weight: 30</p>	<p>Reaction presents excellent synthesis of ideas presented and insights acquired via course materials. The implications of these insights for current or future professional aspirations are robustly described. Conveys strong evidence of reflection on own work with an excellently developed personal response provided. Demonstrates significant, substantive personal and professional awareness of deeper meaning through inferences made, examples, well developed insights, and substantial depth in perceptions and challenges. Synthesizes current experience into future implications.</p>	<p>Reaction presents strong synthesis of ideas presented and insights gained. The implications of these insights for current or future professional aspirations are well presented and applicable. Conveys evidence of reflection on own work with a personal response provided. Demonstrates good awareness through some inferences made, examples, insights, and challenges. Solid thought of the future implications of current experience is evident.</p>	<p>Reaction synthesis of ideas presented and insights acquired is minimally acceptable or satisfactory. Presented implications of these insights for current or future professional aspirations are sufficient. Conveys limited evidence of reflection on own work. Demonstrates less than adequate personal and professional awareness through few or simplistic inferences made, examples, insights, and/or challenges that are not well developed. Minimal thought of the future implications of current experience.</p>	<p>Reaction show little or no evidence of synthesis of ideas presented and insights acquired via course materials. No implications of any insights for current or future professional aspirations are presented, or those provided are insufficiently described or not applicable. Conveys inadequate evidence of reflection on own work. Personal and professional awareness are not evident and/or demonstrates a neutral experience with negligible personal connections. Lacks enough inferences, examples, personal insights and challenges, and/or future implications are overlooked.</p>



STUDYDADDY

**Get Homework Help
From Expert Tutor**

Get Help