



STUDYDADDY

**Get Homework Help
From Expert Tutor**

Get Help



STUDYDADDY

**Get Homework Help
From Expert Tutor**

Get Help

Name Assignment 2 [15%]: Project Plan Marking Rubric

Description Part 1: Report (R) - 50% . Clearly articulate the problem after collecting requirements and constraints from the client and other stakeholders. . Identify the suitable development process (SDLC Methodology). . Assist in the creation of the project plan that balances scope, time, and cost. . Identify potential risks to the project and discusses mitigation options. Part 2: Plan Phase Oral Defense (OD) - 50% . Each student will be assessed on her/his individual knowledge of all areas of this assignment . Questions will be asked to individual students based on dimensions 2.1 to 2.7. . A presentation (i.e. PowerPoint) is required. For sample questions, refer to Appendix B in the handbook

Rubric Detail

Criteria	Levels of Achievement					
	Absent	Insufficient (1-59%) (F)	Emerging (60-69%) (D/D+/C-)	Satisfactory (70-76%) (C/C+)	Competent (77-86%) (B-/B/B+)	Mastering (87-100%) (A-/A)
2.1 Report - Business Requirements & Constraints (5)	0 to 0 points	0.1 to 2.9 points Provide very basic, unclear, or inaccurate information about the project in terms of its business requirements and constraints.	3 to 3.4 points Some but not all of the following: . Clearly stated business requirements. . Clearly stated constraints. . Clearly listed out Requirements Gathering Techniques (RGT). . Sound rationale for the chosen RGT .	3.5 to 3.8 points Most but not all of the following: . Clearly stated business requirements. . Clearly stated constraints. . Clearly listed out Requirements Gathering Techniques (RGT). . Sound rationale for the chosen RGT .	3.9 to 4.3 points All of the following: . Clearly stated business requirements. . Clearly stated constraints. . Clearly listed out Requirements Gathering Techniques (RGT). . Sound rationale for the chosen RGT .	4.4 to 5 points All of the following: . Clearly stated business requirements. . Clearly stated constraints. . Clearly listed out Requirements Gathering Techniques (RGT). . Rationale for RGT choice is sophisticated & extremely well-developed. . Rigor is evident.
2.2 Report - Project Scope Statement (10)	0 to 0 points	0.1 to 5.9 points Inaccurate information about the project scope in terms of its description, deliverables, exclusions, constraints, and assumptions.	6 to 6.9 points Some but not all of the following: . Clearly stated scope description. . Clearly listed out project deliverables. . Clearly stated project exclusions, when it is applicable. . Clearly stated project constraints, when it is applicable. . Clearly stated project assumptions, when it is applicable.	7 to 7.6 points Most but not all of the following: . Clearly stated scope description. . Clearly listed out project deliverables. . Clearly stated project exclusions, when it is applicable. . Clearly stated project constraints, when it is applicable. . Clearly stated project assumptions, when it is applicable.	7.7 to 8.6 points All of the following: . Clearly stated scope description. . Clearly listed out project deliverables. . Clearly stated project exclusions, when it is applicable. . Clearly stated project constraints, when it is applicable. . Clearly stated project assumptions, when it is applicable.	8.7 to 10 points All of the following: . Clear, logical, and complete with additional elements such as justification, out-of-scope, visuals, etc. All relevant acceptance criteria are discussed.
2.3 Report - Development Process (10)	0 to 0 points	0.1 to 5.9 points Inappropriate development process is chosen . Selection	6 to 6.9 points Some but not all of the following: . Appropriate development process is chosen. . Sound rationale for chosen	7 to 7.6 points Most but not all of the following: . Appropriate development process is chosen. . Sound rationale for chosen	7.7 to 8.6 points All of the following: . Appropriate development process is chosen. . Sound rationale for chosen	8.7 to 10 points All of the following: . Rationale for development process's choice is sophisticated & extremely well-

		rationale is not sound . Not properly referenced.	development process . Minor referencing issues.	development process . Minor referencing issues.	development process . No referencing issues.	developed . Rigor is evident . Student synthesizes information from multiple disciplines and sources, and presents them with clarity.
2.4 Report - WBS (5)	0 to 0 points	0.1 to 2.9 points . Incomplete WBS with irrelevancies . Inconsistent & redundant tasks . Irrelevant and/or inaccurate dictionary samples for WBS entries.	3 to 3.4 points Some but not all of the following: . Complete WBS without irrelevancies . Consistent & Non-redundant tasks . Relevant and accurate dictionary samples for WBS entries.	3.5 to 3.8 points Most but not all of the following: . Complete WBS without irrelevancies . Consistent & Non-redundant tasks . Relevant and accurate dictionary samples for WBS entries.	3.9 to 4.3 points All of the following: . Complete WBS without irrelevancies . Consistent & Non-redundant tasks . Relevant and accurate dictionary samples for WBS entries.	4.4 to 5 points All of the following: . Complete WBS without irrelevancies . Consistent & Non-redundant tasks . Relevant and accurate dictionary samples for WBS entries . Excellent presentation of the WBS may include visuals or other creative techniques.
2.5 Report - Time Management (5)	0 to 0 points	0.1 to 2.9 points . Simplistic schedule for project completion is presented . Missing and/or wrong activities and attributes needed to achieve project goals . Activities are sequenced and their duration is estimated but errors and issues exist . Minimal use of schedule tools and charts.	3 to 3.4 points Some but not all of the following: . All activities and attributes needed to achieve project goals are identified . All activities are logically sequenced . Duration of all activities is estimated using appropriate techniques . Critical path, Gantt chart and/or PERT analysis are used to estimate and illustrate project duration.	3.5 to 3.8 points Most but not all of the following: . All activities and attributes needed to achieve project goals are identified . All activities are logically sequenced . Duration of all activities is estimated using appropriate techniques . Critical path, Gantt chart and/or PERT analysis are used to estimate and illustrate project duration.	3.9 to 4.3 points All of the following: . All activities and attributes needed to achieve project goals are identified . All activities are logically sequenced . Duration of all activities is estimated using appropriate techniques . Critical path, Gantt chart and/or PERT analysis are used to estimate and illustrate project duration.	4.4 to 5 points All of the following: . All activities and attributes needed to achieve project goals are identified . All activities are logically sequenced . Duration of all activities is estimated using appropriate techniques . Critical path, Gantt chart and/or PERT analysis are used to estimate and illustrate project duration . Appropriate software tools and techniques are utilized to develop a schedule for project completion.
2.6 Report - Cost Management (5)	0 to 0 points	0.1 to 2.9 points . Few resources are mentioned . At least one estimation method is selected . Sample calculations	3 to 3.4 points Some but not all of the following: . All required resources are included and correctly classified under different types of cost . Appropriate estimation methods have	3.5 to 3.8 points Most but not all of the following: . All required resources are included and correctly classified under different types of cost . Appropriate estimation methods have	3.9 to 4.3 points All of the following: . All required resources are included and correctly classified under different types of cost . Appropriate estimation methods have	4.4 to 5 points All of the following: . All required resources are included and correctly classified under different types of cost . Appropriate estimation methods have

		demonstrate a rudimentary understanding of method(s) used.	been selected and are fully justified/explained. . Sample calculations demonstrate a detailed understanding of methods used.	been selected and are fully justified/explained. . Sample calculations demonstrate a detailed understanding of methods used.	been selected and are fully justified/explained. . Sample calculations demonstrate a detailed understanding of methods used.	been selected and are fully justified/explained. . Sample calculations demonstrate a detailed understanding of methods used. . Software tools are used effectively to accurately compute anticipated project cost.
2.7 Report - Risk Management (5)	0 to 0 points	0.1 to 2.9 points . Some potential project risks have been identified. . Attempt has been made to rank these risks. . Contingencies are either lacking or very simplistic.	3 to 3.4 points Some but not all of the following: . All potential project risks are identified. . All potential project risks are categorized and ranked (high-medium- low) in a risk register. . All contingency and fallback plans are articulated.	3.5 to 3.8 points Most but not all of the following: . All potential project risks are identified. . All potential project risks are categorized and ranked (high-medium- low) in a risk register. . All contingency and fallback plans are articulated.	3.9 to 4.3 points All of the following: . All potential project risks are identified. . All potential project risks are categorized and ranked (high-medium- low) in a risk register. . All contingency and fallback plans are articulated.	4.4 to 5 points All of the following: . All potential project risks are identified. . All potential project risks are categorized and ranked (high-medium- low) in a risk register. . All contingency and fallback plans are articulated. . Risk contingency reserves are used.
2.8 Report Formatting (5)	0 to 0 points	0.1 to 2.9 points . Student does not use proper HCT structure, format, referencing . Proper academic writing skills not demonstrated (language, grammar, spelling, etc.) . Lack of conceptual clarity	3 to 3.4 points Some but not all of the following: . Proper HCT structure & format used, including APA referencing . Academic writing skills demonstrated (language, grammar, spelling, etc.) . Conceptual clarity	3.5 to 3.8 points Most but not all of the following: . Proper HCT structure & format used, including APA referencing . Academic writing skills demonstrated (language, grammar, spelling, etc.) . Conceptual clarity	3.9 to 4.3 points All of the following: . Proper HCT structure & format used, including APA referencing. . Academic writing skills demonstrated (language, grammar, spelling, etc.) . Conceptual clarity	4.4 to 5 points All of the following: . Proper HCT structure & format used, including APA referencing. . Superior academic writing skills demonstrated (language, grammar, spelling, etc.) . Demonstrates synthesis among all components of the assignment
2.9 Individual Oral Defense - Delivery (Communication) (15)	0 to 0 points	0.1 to 8.9 points . Poorly organized presentation . Poor use of visual aids . Presentation indicates lack of understanding of the topic	9 to 10.4 points Some but not all of the following: . Well organized presentation . Excellent use of visual aids . Presentation . Demonstrate good understanding of the topic	10.5 to 11.4 points Most but not all of the following: . Well organized presentation . Excellent use of visual aids . Presentation . Demonstrates good understanding of the topic	11.5 to 12.9 points All of the following: . Well organized presentation . Excellent use of visual aids . Presentation . Demonstrates good understanding of the topic	13 to 15 points All of the following: . Presentation is coherent, with clear introduction, transitions, language use, and conclusion; speaker demonstrates intimate knowledge of the subject . Presentation is polished, speaker uses sentences,

							enunciates well, is fluent in the delivery, maintains an effective pace and eye contact, doesn't run over allotted time
2.10 Individual Oral Defense - Participation in the assignment (Team Work) (15)	0 to 0 points	0.1 to 8.9 points . Student does not have a proper understanding of the assignment. . Student can't explain correctly the elements of the assignment. . Team contribution can't be proved.	9 to 10.4 points . Student has a poor understanding of the assignment. . Student can explain correctly ONLY few elements of the project. . Team contribution is minimal.	10.5 to 11.4 points . Student has a good understanding of the project but does not master all aspects of this project at this phase. . Contributed to team work as expected.	11.5 to 12.9 points . Student has a very good understanding of the assignment phase. . Contribution to team work is significant/exceeds expectations.	13 to 15 points . Student demonstrates very high level of understanding for all aspects of the assignment. . Major contributor to teamwork. The value of the student is acknowledged by her/his peers.	
2.11 Individual Oral Defense - Questions & Answers (Critical Thinking) (20)	0 to 0 points	0.1 to 11.9 points Unable to answer questions from the examining board	12 to 13.9 points Able to answer some but not all questions from the examining board	14 to 15.3 points Able to answer most but not all questions from the examining board	15.4 to 17.3 points Able to answer all questions from the examining board	17.4 to 20 points Able to answer all questions and demonstrate a complete understanding of the study	

[View Associated Items](#)

Print Close Window



STUDYDADDY

**Get Homework Help
From Expert Tutor**

Get Help



STUDYDADDY

**Get Homework Help
From Expert Tutor**

Get Help