

Get Homework Help From Expert Tutor

Get Help

Name: LEG500 Week 10 Assignment 3: The Value of Fair Treatment in the Workplace

Description: LEG500 Week 10 Assignment 3: The Value of Fair Treatment in the Workplace

Grid View	Grid View List View						
	Unacceptable Below 70% F	Fair 70-79% C	Proficient 80- 89% B	Exemplary 90-100% A			
1. Analyze, identify and explain recent legislation, within the last 10 years, that helps to protect employees from discrimination in the workplace. Provide at least two federal protections. Provides insight into conflicts between feds and state.	0 (0.00%) - 42.84438 (17.4875%) Did not submit or incompletely analyzed, identified and explained recent legislation, within the last 10 years, that helps to protect employees from discrimination in the workplace. did not provide at least two federal protections and/or insight into conflicts between feds and state.	42.875 (17.50%) - 48.96938 (19.9875%) Partially analyzed, identified and explained recent legislation, within the last 10 years, that helps to protect employees from discrimination in the workplace. Partially provided at least two federal protections. Partially provides insight into conflicts between feds and state.	49 (20.00%) - 55.09438 (22.4875%) Satisfactorily analyzed, identified and explained recent legislation, within the last 10 years, that helps to protect employees from discrimination in the workplace. Satisfactorily provides at least two federal protections and/or provides insight into conflicts between feds and state.	55.125 (22.50%) - 61.25 (25.0 Thoroughly analyzed, identified a explained recent legislation, within the l 10 years, thelps to protect employees from discriminat in the workplace. Provided a least two federal protections Provided insight into conflicts between fe and state.			

undocumented

workers and

whether they

workers'

States.

are eligible for

compensation

in the United

Advocate for

or against this

0 (0.00%) -42.84438 (17.4875%)Did not submit or incompletely explained the Employment at Will Doctrine and ALL the exceptions to the Doctrine. Did not or incompletely determined whether the decision to fire the employee was a smart one and why or why not. Did not identify all the possible exceptions per the EAW Doctrine that are applicable, might be or could be applicable if the employee sued for wrongful termination. 0 (0.00%) -42.84438 (17.4875%)Did not submit or incompletely determined the law in regards to undocumented workers and

whether they

42.875 (17.50%) -48.96938 (19.9875%)Partially explained the Employment at Will Doctrine and ALL the exceptions to the Doctrine. Partially determined whether the decision to fire the employee was a smart one and why or why not. Partially identified all the possible exceptions per the EAW Doctrine that are applicable, might be or could be applicable if the employee sued for wrongful termination. 42.875 (17.50%) -48.96938 (19.9875%)Partially determined the law in

regards to

undocumented

workers and

whether they

are eligible for

49 (20.00%) -55.09438 (22.4875%)

Satisfactorily

explained the

Employment

Doctrine and

at Will

ALL the exceptions to the Doctrine. Satisfactorily determined whether the decision to fire the employee was a smart one and why or why not. Satisfactorily identified all the possible exceptions per the EAW Doctrine that are applicable, might be or could be applicable if the employee sued for wrongful termination. 49 (20.00%) -55.09438 (22.4875%)Satisfactorily determined the law in regards to undocumented workers and whether they are eligible for workers'

55.125 (22.50%) -61.25 (25.0

Thoroughly explained t Employme at Will Doctrine ar ALL the exceptions the Doctrin Thoroughly determinec whether the decision to the employ was a sma one and wl or why not. Thoroughly identified a the possibl exceptions the EAW Doctrine th are applica might be or could be applicable the employ sued for wrongful terminatior 55.125 (22.50%) -61.25 (25.0

Thoroughly determinec the law in regards to undocume workers an whether the are eligible workers'

practice and substantiate your response with research to support your position.	are eligible for workers' compensation in the United States. Did not submit or incompletely advocated for or against this practice and failed to substantiate response with research to support your position.	workers compensation in the United States. Partially advocated for or against this practice and partially substantiated response with research to support your position.	in the United States. Satisfactorily advocated for or against this practice and satisfactorily substantiated response with research to support your position.	in the Unite States. Thoroughly advocated or against practice an thoroughly substantiat response v research to support yo position.
4. References - Utilizes at least three (3) scholarly sources, including the required Textbook as a source for the paper.	0 (0.00%) - 25.70663 (10.4925%) No references provided	25.725 (10.50%) - 29.38163 (11.9925%) Does not meet the required number of references; some or all references poor quality choices.	29.4 (12.00%) - 33.05663 (13.4925%) Meets number of required references; all references high quality choices.	33.075 (13.50%) - 36.75 (15.0 Exceeds number of required references references high quality choices.
7. Clarity, writing mechanics, and formatting requirements	0 (0.00%) - 17.13775 (6.995%) More than 6 errors present	17.15 (7.00%) - 19.58775 (7.995%) 5-6 errors present	19.6 (8.00%) - 22.03775 (8.995%) 3-4 errors present	22.05 (9.00 - 24.5 (10.0 0-2 errors present

Name: LEG500 Week 10 Assignment 3: The Value of Fair Treatment in the Workplace

Description:LEG500 Week 10 Assignment 3: The Value of Fair Treatment in the Workplace



Get Homework Help From Expert Tutor

Get Help