STUDYDADDY

Get Homework Help From Expert Tutor

Get Help

Grid View | List View

	Unacceptable	Needs Improvement	Competent	Exemplary
Goals: What the project hopes to accomplish.	**0** (0.00%) Did not communicate what the project hopes to accomplish. Did not list project goals.	**15** (7.50%) Communicated what the project hopes to accomplish. Did not list project goals.	**17** (8.50%) Communicated what the project hopes to accomplish. Listed the project goals.	**20** (10.00%) Communicated importance of project reaching its goals. Connected project goals to the organizational strategy.
Critical Success Factors: Identify at least 4 different stakeholders; for each, list at least 2 things that stakeholder requires in order to deem the project successful.	**0** (0.00%) Did not identify 4 stakeholders. Listed things to make project successful, but did not connect each stakeholder.	**15** (7.50%) Identified 4 stakeholders. Listed less than 2 things each stakeholder would require to deem project successful.	**17** (8.50%) Identified 4 stakeholders. Listed 2 things that each stakeholder would require to deem the project successful.	**20** (10.00%) Identified and justified the selection of 4 stakeholders. Explained why each stakeholder would be most interested in 2 things to deem project successful.
Acquisition strategy: Should the system be built in-house, created by a contractor, purchased off-the-shelf and customized, or leased as a service? Explain the rationale for your choice.	**0** (0.00%) Did not compare acquisition strategies. Did not determine the best strategy for the proposed information system. Inadequately explained the rationale for choosing the acquisition strategy.	**15** (7.50%) Reasonable comparison of acquisition strategies. Determined best acquisition strategy for the proposed information system. Somewhat explained the rationale for choosing the acquisition strategy.	**17** (8.50%) Compared various acquisition strategies. Determined which acquisition strategy would be the best for the proposed system. Provided rationale for choosing a specific acquisition strategy.	**20** (10.00%) Analyzed various acquisition strategies, or presented an acquisition strategy that was not previously mentioned. Explained why other acquisition strategies would potentially not work. Discussed cost considerations.
Resources: For in-house development, what people/skills are required and what development lifecycle do you recommend? Otherwise, identify 3 candidate organizations that can deliver the system.	**0** (0.00%) Inadequately determined resources needed for the acquisition strategy. Limited recommendation for development lifecycle for in-house development, or three external organizations that can deliver the system. Inadequate justification.	**15** (7.50%) Determined resources needed for the acquisition strategy, but did not justify reasoning. Recommended a project development lifecycle for in-house development, or three external organizations that can deliver the system, but did not justify why they were chosen.	**17** (8.50%) Determined and justified resources needed for chosen acquisition strategy. Recommended a project development lifecycle for in-house development, or three external organizations that can deliver the system.	**20** (10.00%) Presented resources not previously considered. Included risk identification and mitigation procedures. Proposed a combination of resources and strategy to organize stakeholder efforts toward project completion.
System functions: In a table format, summarize the types of users for the system; the business reason(s) each would use the system; the ways that the system	**0** (0.00%) Failed to put in table format. Inadequate summary of users for the system. Limited explanation of why users would use the system.	**15** (7.50%) In table format: Summarized some of the types of users for the system. Somewhat explained business reasons for system use. Somewhat	**17** (8.50%) In table format: Summarized the types of users for the information system. Explained business reasons why each user type would use the	**20** (10.00%) In table format: Analyzed user experience and user design considerations. Explained design changes that took place between the