Hate Crimes CJS responses to Hate Crimes-Brandon Teena Case

Goal: To demonstrate an understanding of police should support and interact with victims of hate crimes

Answer the following questions in a well written essay, using support of text, lecture, assigned readings, viewings, and details of the Brandon Teena case to back up your decisions. The paper should be 1000 words (min 900 and max 1100 words), single spaced, using proper paragraph format. Editing, clarity, effective writing, spelling, grammar, and organization all count.

Sourcing: Use in-text author attribution throughout the paper, just like what is expected in our weekly discussions: For example, state the information in your words then show where your information came from throughout, in format like this (Lecture, Week 10, 11, and/12 where relevant) (Petrosino, Ch. 7), (Two decades Later, Fairyington), (Responding to hate crime, IACP), (Brandon Teena, Video) -**All of these sources ARE required in your paper.** Additional sources posted are optional but maybe helpful like Lotter's appeal and 100K enough for 'boys' mother. No additional resources are required however, if you perform independent research, in addition to in-text attribution for each source (article, author), you must also provide a full reference in APA format at the end of the paper for the additional sources. You do NOT need a reference page for assigned class sources, only those that you researched on your own. Be sure that all outside sources are valid (no wiki, blogs, etc.). Please do not use quotes in the paper, show your understanding in your own words.

This is the suggested outline to help you. Please answer the questions in the order given below, but you can certainly decide on how many paragraphs to give each area!

- 1. Intro Paragraph: Start with an opening paragraph that gives a brief overview of this case, and why it is used as a model case for police working with victims. At the end of the paragraph provide a topic sentence that shows what you will be doing in this paper based on the goal above...in essence explaining how the CJS should respond to hate crime victims. Don't spend too much time on the case here just a brief summary.
- 2. Paragraph 2: Briefly describe your reaction to this case and the sheriff's interview with Brandon Teena when he reported his sexual assault. (Use supports to show history of victimization of LGBTQIA persons)
- 3. Paragraph 3/4: Why did the Sheriff respond this way? Articulate the problems with the Sheriff's interview using details from the transcript to point out which particular questions conflict with police *do's and don'ts* when working with hate crime victims today. (Use lecture, text, video, Two Decades, and IACP reading throughout).
- 4. Paragraph 5: You are now the police interviewer -show an alternative line of sensitive questioning that should have been used in this interview. Propose at least 5-6 questions and/or statements you would use during your interview to support Brandon, while getting important information about the crime. Use the format below with quotations, separating and spacing each question. Do not make up any 'responses' to your questions -only show YOUR side of the interview.
 - "When did the sky turn blue?"
 - "Tell me more about why zebras have stripes?"
 - "based on x, that must have been y"
- 5. Paragraph 6/7: Why did the police fail to apprehend the offenders after Brandon reported his sexual assault? Discuss how the multiple failures of police in this case lead to Brandon's murder. (Use text/lecture and other supports to discuss victim worth and police treatment of marginalized groups historically)
- 6. Paragraph 8: Sheriff Laux continued in his job, was later voted in as a county commissioner and continued his career. Why weren't there any serious sanctions against him? What do you think should have happened to the Sheriff? While he was charged with negligence civilly, consider if he

- should have been charged with a bias motivated crime or other **specific** criminal charges you can think of.
- 7. Closing paragraphs: What can police departments do to better respond to and support victims of hate crimes? How would this specific case be handled differently today and what supports should be offered to Brandon by local police? (Use support of materials from class, text, IACP, and other relevant sources)

Please upload your paper as a doc or pdf file to Blackboard. Go back and check that it is submitted to avoid late points. Late papers and exams incur a 10% deduction per day late (before grading) and will not be accepted after 3 days of the due date. Please do NOT email assignments, they will not be graded until they are posted correctly on BB.

Grading Rubric/Guide

Requirements-100 pts	Missing	Poor	Average	Good	Excellent
Provided a good opening paragraph					
with brief description of case and					
topic sentence to show					
goal/direction of paper					
Paragraph 2 reaction to case					
(10pts)					
Discussed how Sheriff's actions					
reflect police interactions with					
marginalized victims historically.					
Clearly articulated issues with					
questioning based on police					
protocol with victims today (20 pts)					
Developed line of questioning using					
training (10 pts)					
Discussed failures of police in a					
historical context and considered					
sanctions for the Sheriff (15 pts)					
Conclusion- Discussed appropriate					
police response and PD supports -					
how this case would be different					
today(20 pts)					
All course sources required were					
used in proper format. Additional					
sources were cited and full APA					
reference (15 pts)					
Writing was clear, well organized in					
paragraphs, spelling/grammar					
correct. Well edited and within					
word limits.					
(10 pts)					
Paper was on time see late policy					
point loss					