



STUDYDADDY

**Get Homework Help
From Expert Tutor**

Get Help



Unit 4 Assignment Rubrics

Course: CLCS 615 9041 Cloud Services and Technologies (2262)

| Criteria | Excellent | Proficient | Developing | Needs Improvement | Not Submitted | Criterion Score |
|---|--|---|--|--|--|-----------------|
| Current State Analysis and Cloud Readiness Assessment | <p>25 points 23-25 points</p> <p>Provides comprehensive analysis of MediCare's infrastructure, organizational, financial, and compliance readiness. Includes detailed gap analysis with prioritized recommendations. Demonstrates deep understanding of healthcare-specific challenges. Includes professional readiness assessment scorecard.</p> | <p>20 points 20-22 points</p> <p>Provides solid analysis of most readiness dimensions with adequate gap identification. Shows good understanding of organizational assessment methodologies. Includes basic readiness assessment tool.</p> | <p>15 points 17-19 points</p> <p>Provides basic analysis of readiness factors but lacks depth in some areas. Gap analysis is present but may lack prioritization. Limited integration of health-care-specific considerations.</p> | <p>10 points 1-16 points</p> <p>Provides superficial analysis with significant gaps in readiness assessment. Missing key components or demonstrates limited understanding of assessment frameworks.</p> | <p>5 points 0 point</p> <p>Section missing, incomplete, or demonstrates no understanding of requirements.</p> | / 25 |

| Criteria | Excellent | Proficient | Developing | Needs Improvement | Not Submitted | Criterion Score |
|---|---|--|---|---|---|-----------------|
| Cloud Deployment Model Analysis and Recommendations | <p>25 points</p> <p>23-25 points</p> <p>Demonstrates expert understanding of all deployment models (public, private, hybrid, multi-cloud) with detailed governance implications. Provides well-justified recommendations with healthcare-specific considerations. Includes comprehensive comparison matrix and phased implementation approach.</p> | <p>20 points</p> <p>20-22 points</p> <p>Shows solid understanding of deployment models with adequate governance analysis. Provides reasonable recommendations with some healthcare considerations. Includes basic comparison tools.</p> | <p>15 points</p> <p>17-19 points</p> <p>Shows basic understanding of deployment models but limited depth in governance implications.</p> | <p>10 points</p> <p>1-16 points</p> <p>Recommendations lack strong justification. Healthcare considerations are superficial.</p> | <p>5 points</p> <p>0 point</p> <p>Shows poor understanding of deployment models and governance implications. Recommendations are poorly justified or inappropriate for healthcare context.</p> | <p>/ 25</p> |

| Criteria | Excellent | Proficient | Developing | Needs Improvement | Not Submitted | Criterion Score |
|----------------|--|--|--|---|---------------------------------------|-----------------|
| Cloud Adoption | <p>30 points</p> <p>27-30 points</p> <p>Develops 4+ detailed, well-structured use cases that directly address organizational governance needs. Each use case includes comprehensive technical requirements, governance considerations, risk mitigation, and success metrics. Demonstrates innovative thinking while maintaining health-care compliance focus.</p> | <p>24 points</p> <p>24-26 points</p> <p>Develops 4 adequate use cases with good structure and governance considerations.</p> <p>Most elements are present with reasonable detail.</p> <p>Shows understanding of health-care requirements.</p> | <p>18 points</p> <p>20-23 points</p> <p>Develops 3-4 basic use cases with some governance considerations.</p> <p>Missing some required elements or lacks sufficient detail in analysis.</p> | <p>12 points</p> <p>1-19 points</p> <p>Develops fewer than 3 use cases or provides superficial analysis.</p> <p>Poor understanding of governance needs and health-care requirements. Missing key components.</p> | <p>6 points</p> <p>0 point</p> | / 30 |

| Criteria | Excellent | Proficient | Developing | Needs Improvement | Not Submitted | Criterion Score |
|---------------|--|--|---|---|---------------------------------------|-----------------|
| Service Level | <p>25 points</p> <p>23-25 points</p> <p>Provides detailed SLA requirements for different system categories with specific metrics.</p> <p>Develops a comprehensive governance framework including committee structure, processes, and policies.</p> <p>Demonstrates clear understanding of SLA implications for healthcare governance.</p> | <p>20 points</p> <p>20-22 points</p> <p>Provides adequate SLA requirements and governance structure but lacks detail or depth. Limited understanding of governance implications for healthcare.</p> | <p>15 points</p> <p>17-19 points</p> <p>Provides basic SLA requirements and governance structure but lacks detail or depth. Limited understanding of governance implications for healthcare.</p> | <p>10 points</p> <p>1-16 points</p> <p>Provides superficial or inappropriate SLA requirements. Poor understanding of governance frameworks and their implications.</p> | <p>5 points</p> <p>0 point</p> | / 25 |

| Criteria | Excellent | Proficient | Developing | Needs Improvement | Not Submitted | Criterion Score |
|---|---|---|---|--|---|-----------------|
| Risk Assessment and Mitigation Strategies | <p>15 points</p> <p>14-15 points</p> <p>Conducts systematic risk analysis with comprehensive identification of security, operational, financial, and strategic risks. Provides detailed risk assessment matrix and innovative mitigation strategies. Includes robust business continuity planning.</p> | <p>12 points</p> <p>12-13 points</p> <p>Conducts adequate risk analysis with good risk identification and mitigation strategies. Includes basic risk assessment tools and continuity planning.</p> | <p>9 points</p> <p>10-11 points</p> <p>Conducts basic risk analysis but may miss some key risks or provide superficial mitigation strategies. Limited depth in analysis.</p> | <p>6 points</p> <p>1-9 points</p> <p>Conducts poor risk analysis with significant gaps in risk identification or inappropriate mitigation strategies.</p> | <p>3 points</p> <p>0 point</p> <p>Section missing, incomplete, or demonstrates no understanding of requirements.</p> | / 15 |

| Criteria | Excellent | Proficient | Developing | Needs Improvement | Not Submitted | Criterion Score |
|--|--|---|--|--|--|-----------------|
| Document Quality and Professional Presentation | <p>5 points 5 points</p> <p>Professional document structure with all required sections, proper formatting, and high-quality visual elements (3+ diagrams/tables). Excellent writing quality with proper APA citations from 6+ credible sources.</p> | <p>4 points 4 points</p> <p>Good document structure with most required elements and adequate visual aids.</p> <p>Good writing quality with proper citations from adequate sources.</p> | <p>3 points 3 points</p> <p>Basic document structure with some formatting issues. Limited visual elements and adequate writing quality. Some citation issues.</p> | <p>2 points 1-2 points</p> <p>Poor document structure, significant formatting problems, and limited visual elements. Poor writing quality with citation errors.</p> | <p>1 point 0 point</p> <p>Document missing, severely incomplete, or unprofessional quality.</p> | / 5 |

Total

/ 125

Overall Score

| | | | | |
|--|---|---|--|--|
| Excellent 125 points minimum | Proficient 123 points minimum | Developing 117 points minimum | Needs Improvement 111 points minimum | Not Submitted 0 points minimum |
|--|---|---|--|--|



STUDYDADDY

**Get Homework Help
From Expert Tutor**

Get Help