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| Top of Form   |  |  |  |  |  |  | | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | |  | **1 Unsatisfactory 0.00%** | **2 Less than Satisfactory 74.00%** | **3 Satisfactory 79.00%** | **4 Good 87.00%** | **5 Excellent 100.00%** | | **70.0 %Content** |  | | | | | | | **35.0 %Describe the key variables in the selected article.** | Essay omits or incompletely describes the key variables in the article. Essay does not demonstrate understanding of the topic. | Essay inadequately describes the key variables in the article and/or the discussion is not historically accurate. Essay demonstrates poor understanding of the topic. | Essay adequately describes the key variables in the article, but description is limited and lacks some evidence to support claims. Essay demonstrates a basic understanding of the topic. | Essay clearly describes the key variables in the article, and description is strong with sound analysis and some evidence to support claims. Essay demonstrates understanding that extends beyond the surface the topic. | Essay expertly describes the key variables in the article, and description is comprehensive and insightful with relevant evidence to support claims. Essay demonstrates an exceptional understanding of the topic. |  | | **35.0 %Describe the strengths and limitations of the multivariate models used in the article.** | Essay omits or incompletely describes the strengths and limitations of the multivariate models used in the article. Essay does not demonstrate understanding of the topic. | Essay inadequately describes the strengths and limitations of the multivariate models used in the article and/or the discussion is not historically accurate. Essay demonstrates poor understanding of the topic. | Essay adequately describes the strengths and limitations of the multivariate models used in the article, but description is limited and lacks some evidence to support claims. Essay demonstrates a basic understanding of the topic. | Essay clearly describes the strengths and limitations of the multivariate models used in the article, and description is strong with sound analysis and some evidence to support claims. Essay demonstrates understanding that extends beyond the surface the topic. | Essay expertly describes the strengths and limitations of the multivariate models used in the article, and description is comprehensive and insightful with relevant evidence to support claims. Essay demonstrates an exceptional understanding of the topic. |  | | **20.0 %Organization and Effectiveness** |  | | | | | | | **7.0 %Thesis Development and Purpose** | Paper lacks any discernible overall purpose or organizing claim. | Thesis and/or main claim are insufficiently developed and/or vague; purpose is not clear. | Thesis and/or main claim are apparent and appropriate to purpose. | Thesis and/or main claim are clear and forecast the development of the paper. It is descriptive and reflective of the arguments and appropriate to the purpose. | Thesis and/or main claim are comprehensive. The essence of the paper is contained within the thesis. Thesis statement makes the purpose of the paper clear. |  | | **20.0 %Organization and Effectiveness** |  | | | | | | | **8.0 %Argument Logic and Construction** | Statement of purpose is not justified by the conclusion. The conclusion does not support the claim made. Argument is incoherent and uses noncredible sources. | Sufficient justification of claims is lacking. Argument lacks consistent unity. There are obvious flaws in the logic. Some sources have questionable credibility. | Argument is orderly, but may have a few inconsistencies. The argument presents minimal justification of claims. Argument logically, but not thoroughly, supports the purpose. Sources used are credible. Introduction and conclusion bracket the thesis. | Argument shows logical progressions. Techniques of argumentation are evident. There is a smooth progression of claims from introduction to conclusion. Most sources are authoritative. | Clear and convincing argument that presents a persuasive claim in a distinctive and compelling manner. All sources are authoritative. |  | | **20.0 %Organization and Effectiveness** |  | | | | | | | **5.0 %Mechanics of Writing (includes spelling, punctuation, grammar, language use)** | Surface errors are pervasive enough that they impede communication of meaning. Inappropriate word choice and/or sentence construction are used. | Frequent and repetitive mechanical errors distract the reader. Inconsistencies in language choice (register) and/or word choice are present. Sentence structure is correct but not varied. | Some mechanical errors or typos are present, but are not overly distracting to the reader. Correct and varied sentence structure and audience-appropriate language are employed. | Prose is largely free of mechanical errors, although a few may be present. The writer uses a variety of effective sentence structures and figures of speech. | Writer is clearly in command of standard, written, academic English. |  | | **10.0 %Format** |  | | | | | | | **5.0 %Paper Format (use of appropriate style for the major and assignment)** | Template is not used appropriately or documentation format is rarely followed correctly. | Appropriate template is used, but some elements are missing or mistaken. A lack of control with formatting is apparent. | Appropriate template is used. Formatting is correct, although some minor errors may be present. | Appropriate template is fully used. There are virtually no errors in formatting style. | All format elements are correct. |  | | **5.0 %Documentation of Sources (citations, footnotes, references, bibliography, etc., as appropriate to assignment and style)** | Sources are not documented. | Documentation of sources is inconsistent or incorrect, as appropriate to assignment and style, with numerous formatting errors. | Sources are documented, as appropriate to assignment and style, although some formatting errors may be present. | Sources are documented, as appropriate to assignment and style, and format is mostly correct. | Sources are completely and correctly documented, as appropriate to assignment and style, and format is free of error. |  | | **100 %Total Weightage** |  | | | | |  |   Bottom of Form |  |
|  |  |  |