



**STUDYDADDY**

# Get Homework Help From Expert Tutor

[Get Help](#)

## Worldwide Paper Company

In December 2006, Bob Prescott, the controller for the Blue Ridge Mill, was considering the addition of a new on-site longwood woodyard. The addition would have two primary benefits: to eliminate the need to purchase shortwood from an outside supplier and create the opportunity to sell shortwood on the open market as a new market for Worldwide Paper Company (WPC). The new woodyard would allow the Blue Ridge Mill not only to reduce its operating costs but also to increase its revenues. The proposed woodyard utilized new technology that allowed tree-length logs, called longwood, to be processed directly, whereas the current process required shortwood, which had to be purchased from the Shenandoah Mill. This nearby mill, owned by a competitor, had excess capacity that allowed it to produce more shortwood than it needed for its own pulp production. The excess was sold to several different mills, including the Blue Ridge Mill. Thus adding the new longwood equipment would mean that Prescott would no longer need to use the Shenandoah Mill as a shortwood supplier and that the Blue Ridge Mill would instead compete with the Shenandoah Mill by selling on the shortwood market. The question for Prescott was whether these expected benefits were enough to justify the \$18 million capital outlay plus the incremental investment in working capital over the six-year life of the investment.

Construction would start within a few months, and the investment outlay would be spent over two calendar years: \$16 million in 2007 and the remaining \$2 million in 2008. When the new woodyard began operating in 2008, it would significantly reduce the operating costs of the mill. These operating savings would come mostly from the difference in the cost of producing shortwood on-site versus buying it on the open market and were estimated to be \$2.0 million for 2008 and \$3.5 million per year thereafter.

Prescott also planned on taking advantage of the excess production capacity afforded by the new facility by selling shortwood on the open market as soon as possible. For 2008, he expected to show revenues of approximately \$4 million, as the facility came on-line and began to break into the new market. He expected shortwood sales to reach \$10 million in 2009 and continue at the \$10 million level through 2013.

This case was prepared by Professor Kenneth M. Eades and is intended for illustrative purposes only. Copyright © 2002 by the University of Virginia Darden School Foundation. Charlottesville, VA. All rights reserved. To order copies, send an e-mail to [sales@dardenbusinesspublishing.com](mailto:sales@dardenbusinesspublishing.com). No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, used in a spreadsheet, or transmitted in any form or by any means—electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording, or otherwise—without the permission of the Darden School Foundation. Rev. 9/10.

Prescott estimated that the cost of goods sold (before including depreciation expenses) would be 75% of revenues, and SG&A would be 5% of revenues.

In addition to the capital outlay of \$18 million, the increased revenues would necessitate higher levels of inventories and accounts receivable. The total working capital would average 10% of annual revenues. Therefore the amount of working capital investment each year would equal 10% of incremental sales for the year. At the end of the life of the equipment, in 2013, all the net working capital on the books would be recoverable at cost, whereas only 10% or \$1.8 million (before taxes) of the capital investment would be recoverable.

Taxes would be paid at a 40% rate, and depreciation was calculated on a straight-line basis over the six-year life, with zero salvage. WPC accountants had told Prescott that depreciation charges could not begin until 2008, when all the \$18 million had been spent, and the machinery was in service.

Prescott was conflicted about how to treat inflation in his analysis. He was reasonably confident that his estimates of revenues and costs for 2008 and 2009 reflected the dollar amounts that WPC would most likely experience during those years. The capital outlays were mostly contracted costs and therefore were highly reliable estimates. The expected shortwood revenue figure of \$4.0 million had been based on a careful analysis of the shortwood market that included a conservative estimate of the Blue Ridge Mill's share of the market plus the expected market price of shortwood, taking into account the impact of Blue Ridge Mill as a new competitor in the market. Because he was unsure of how the operating costs and the price of shortwood would be impacted by inflation after 2009, Prescott decided not to include it in his analysis. Therefore the dollar estimates for 2010 and beyond were based on the same costs and prices per ton used in 2009. Prescott did not consider the omission critical to the final decision because he expected the increase in operating costs caused by inflation would be mostly offset by the increase in revenues associated with the rise in the price of shortwood.

WPC had a company policy to use 15% as the hurdle rate for such investment opportunities. The hurdle rate was based on a study of the company's cost of capital conducted 10 years ago. Prescott was uneasy using an outdated figure for a discount rate, particularly because it was computed when 30-year Treasury bonds were yielding 10%, whereas currently they were yielding less than 5% (**Exhibit 1**).

Prescott estimated that the cost of goods sold (before including depreciation expenses) would be 75% of revenues, and SG&A would be 5% of revenues.

In addition to the capital outlay of \$18 million, the increased revenues would necessitate higher levels of inventories and accounts receivable. The total working capital would average 10% of annual revenues. Therefore the amount of working capital investment each year would equal 10% of incremental sales for the year. At the end of the life of the equipment, in 2013, all the net working capital on the books would be recoverable at cost, whereas only 10% or \$1.8 million (before taxes) of the capital investment would be recoverable.

Taxes would be paid at a 40% rate, and depreciation was calculated on a straight-line basis over the six-year life, with zero salvage. WPC accountants had told Prescott that depreciation charges could not begin until 2008, when all the \$18 million had been spent, and the machinery was in service.

Prescott was conflicted about how to treat inflation in his analysis. He was reasonably confident that his estimates of revenues and costs for 2008 and 2009 reflected the dollar amounts that WPC would most likely experience during those years. The capital outlays were mostly contracted costs and therefore were highly reliable estimates. The expected shortwood revenue figure of \$4.0 million had been based on a careful analysis of the shortwood market that included a conservative estimate of the Blue Ridge Mill's share of the market plus the expected market price of shortwood, taking into account the impact of Blue Ridge Mill as a new competitor in the market. Because he was unsure of how the operating costs and the price of shortwood would be impacted by inflation after 2009, Prescott decided not to include it in his analysis. Therefore the dollar estimates for 2010 and beyond were based on the same costs and prices per ton used in 2009. Prescott did not consider the omission critical to the final decision because he expected the increase in operating costs caused by inflation would be mostly offset by the increase in revenues associated with the rise in the price of shortwood.

WPC had a company policy to use 15% as the hurdle rate for such investment opportunities. The hurdle rate was based on a study of the company's cost of capital conducted 10 years ago. Prescott was uneasy using an outdated figure for a discount rate, particularly because it was computed when 30-year Treasury bonds were yielding 10%, whereas currently they were yielding less than 5% (**Exhibit 1**).

**EXHIBIT 1 | Cost-of-Capital Information**

Interest Rates: December 2006			
<b>Bank loan rates (LIBOR)</b>		<b>Market risk premium</b>	
1-year	5.38%	Historical average	6.0%
<b>Government bonds</b>		<b>Corporate bonds (10-year maturities):</b>	
1-year	4.96%	Aaa	5.37%
5-year	4.57%	Aa	5.53%
10-year	4.60%	A	5.78%
30-year	4.73%	Baa	6.25%
Worldwide Paper Financial Data			
<b>Balance-sheet accounts (\$ millions)</b>			
Bank loan payable (LIBOR + 1%)		500	
Long-term debt		2,500	
Common equity		500	
Retained earnings		2,000	
<b>Per-share data</b>			
Shares outstanding (millions)		500	
Book value per share		\$ 5.00	
Recent market value per share		\$24.00	
<b>Other</b>			
Bond rating		A	
Beta		1.10	





**STUDYDADDY**

# Get Homework Help From Expert Tutor

[Get Help](#)