

Points: 240	Assignment 1: Prioritizing Projects at D. D. Williamson (Chapter 2)			
Criteria	Unacceptable Below 70% F	Fair 70-79% C	Proficient 80-89% B	Exemplary 90-100% A
1. Analyze the prioritizing process at D. D. Williamson. Weight: 20%	Did not submit or incompletely critiqued the prioritizing process at D. D. Williamson.	Partially critiqued the prioritizing process at D. D. Williamson.	Satisfactorily critiqued the prioritizing process at D. D. Williamson.	Thoroughly critiqued the prioritizing process at D. D. Williamson.
2. Suggest two (2) recommendation to improve the prioritizing process. Weight: 20%	Did not submit or incompletely suggested two (2) recommendations to improve the prioritizing process.	Partially suggested two (2) recommendations to improve the prioritizing process.	Satisfactorily suggested two (2) recommendations to improve the prioritizing process.	Thoroughly suggested two (2) recommendations to improve the prioritizing process.
3. Create a scenario where the implemented process at D. D. Williamson would not work. Weight: 20%	Did not submit or incompletely created a scenario where the implemented process at D. D. Williamson would not work.	Partially created a scenario where the implemented process at D. D. Williamson would not work.	Satisfactorily created a scenario where the implemented process at D. D. Williamson would not work.	Thoroughly created a scenario where the implemented process at D. D. Williamson would not work.
4. Project five (5) years ahead and speculate whether or not D. D. Williamson will be using the same process. Justify your answer. Weight: 25%	Did not submit or incompletely projected five (5) years ahead. Did not submit or incompletely speculated whether or not D. D. Williamson will be using the same process.	Partially projected five (5) years ahead. Partially speculated whether or not D. D. Williamson will be using the same process.	Satisfactorily projected five (5) years ahead. Satisfactorily speculated whether or not D. D. Williamson will be using the same process.	Thoroughly projected five (5) years ahead. Thoroughly speculated whether or not D. D. Williamson will be using the same process.
5. Four (4) References Weight: 5%	No references provided.	Does not meet the required number of references; some or all references poor quality choices.	Meets number of required references; all references high quality choices.	Exceeds number of required references; all references high quality choices.
6. Clarity and writing mechanics Weight: 10%	More than 6 errors present	5-6 errors present	3-4 errors present	0-2 errors present