Name: Week 4 Assignment 2: Project Leadership Roles at TriHealth Exit Description: Week 4 Assignment 2: Project Leadership Roles at TriHealth **Grid View** List View Unacceptable Fair **Proficient Exemplary** 0 (0%) - 41.4 42 (17.5%) -48 (20%) - 53.4 54 (22.5%) - 60 1. Identify the 47.4 (19.75%) (22.25%)(17.25%)(25%)common roles in a human Did not submit Partially Satisfactorily Thoroughly resource or incompletely identified the identified the identified the project. Then, identified the common roles common roles common roles analyze these common roles in a human in a human in a human roles to typical in a human resource resource resource human resource project. Partially project. Partially project. Partially resource project. Did not analyzed these analyzed these analyzed these functions. submit or roles to typical roles to typical roles to typical incompletely human resource human resource human resource analyzed these functions. functions. functions. roles to typical human resource functions. 0 (0%) - 41.4 42 (17.5%) -48 (20%) - 53.4 54 (22.5%) - 60 2. Reorganize (17.25%)47.4 (19.75%) (22.25%)(25%)any two (2) roles at Did not submit Partially Satisfactorily Thoroughly TriHealth to or incompletely reorganized any reorganized any reorganized any share reorganized any two (2) roles at two (2) roles at two (2) roles at responsibilities two (2) roles at TriHealth to TriHealth to TriHealth to and state why TriHealth to share share share you chose share responsibilities; responsibilities responsibilities them. responsibilities; partially stated and thoroughly and stated why you did not submit why you chose satisfactorily or incompletely them. stated why you chose them. stated why you chose them. chose them. 0 (0%) - 24.84 25.2 (10.5%) -28.8 (12%) -32.4 (13.5%) -3. Suggest the (10.35%)28.44 (11.85%) 32.04 (13.35%) 36 (15%) short-term and long-term Did not submit Thoroughly Partially Satisfactorily effects on the or incompletely suggested the suggested the suggested the company with suggested the short-term and short-term and short-term and roles being short-term and long-term long-term long-term shared among long-term effects on the effects on the effects on the employees. effects on the company with company with company with company with roles being roles being roles being shared among shared among roles being shared among shared among employees. employees. employees. employees. 0 (0%) - 24.84 32.4 (13.5%) -25.2 (10.5%) -28.8 (12%) -4. Analyze the

	Unacceptable	Fair	Proficient	Exemplary
dditional role s) and their roposed npact.	Did not submit or incompletely analyzed the need for additional role (s) and their proposed impact.	Partially analyzed the need for additional role (s) and their proposed impact.	Satisfactorily analyzed the need for additional role (s) and their proposed impact.	Thoroughly analyzed the need for additional role (s) and their proposed impact.
5. Include four (4) references.	0 (0%) - 16.56 (6.9%)	16.8 (7%) - 18.96 (7.9%)	19.2 (8%) - 21.36 (8.9%)	21.6 (9%) - 24 (10%)
	No references provided.	Does not meet the required number of references; some or all references poor quality choices.	Meets number of required references; all references high quality choices.	Exceeds number of required references; all references high quality choices.
6. Clarity and writing mechanics.	0 (0%) - 16.56 (6.9%)	16.8 (7%) - 18.96 (7.9%)	19.2 (8%) - 21.36 (8.9%)	21.6 (9%) - 24 (10%)
	More than 6 errors present.	5-6 errors present.	3-4 errors present.	0-2 errors present.